## Automatic ordinals and linear orders

Philipp Schlicht, University of Bonn with Frank Stephan

Winter school in set theory Hejnice, 3 February 2011

Let us fix a finite alphabet  $\Sigma$ . A finite automaton A consists of

- a finite set S of states,
- an initial state,
- a transition function  $\Delta: S \times \Sigma \rightarrow S$ , and
- a subset of S of accepting states.

An input word (string) is read from beginning to end and is accepted or rejected according to the end state.

# Automatic structures

An automaton A recognizes a set of words if A accepts exactly the words in the set.

### Definition

A (word) automatic structure  $(M, R_0, ..., R_n)$  is (isomorphic to) a structure with domain a set of finite words in a finite alphabet. The domain and the relations of the structure are recognized by finite automata.

### Definition

An ordinal  $\gamma$  is automatic if  $(\gamma, <)$  is automatic.

# Examples

#### Examples:

- $(\omega, +, <)$
- $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$
- finitely generated abelian groups

Non-examples:

- $(\omega^{\omega}, <)$  (Delhommé)
- $(\omega, \times)$
- the random graph (Delhommé)

・ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## Motivation

Why study automatic structures and computable structures?

They are often simpler than arbitrary structures (in a given class):

- $\bullet\,$  the  $\exists^\infty\textsc{-theory}$  of any automatic structure is decidable
- automatic linear orders have finite Cantor-Bendixson rank
- the isomorphism problem for automatic ordinals is decidable.

Counterexample:

• there are automatic wellfounded relations of arbitrary large height below  $\omega_1^{CK}$  (Khoussainov-Minnes).

# Motivation

Do structures recognized by automata with infinite running time  $\alpha$  have similar properties?

The automatic ordinals are exactly those below  $\omega^{\omega}$  (Delhommé). Which ordinals are  $\alpha$ -automatic?

# Results

### Proposition (Stephan-S.)

Suppose  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta = \omega^{\gamma}$ . Then  $\omega^{\beta \cdot \omega}$  is the supremum of the  $\alpha$ -automatic ordinals.

## Proposition (Stephan-S.)

Suppose  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta = \omega^{\gamma}$ . Then  $\beta \cdot \omega$  is the supremum of ranks of  $\alpha$ -automatic linear orders.

Hence the power of  $\alpha\text{-}{\rm automata}$  increases with every power of  $\omega.$ 

Let us fix a limit ordinal  $\alpha$  and an extra symbol  $\diamond$ . A *finite*  $\alpha$ *-word* is a word of length  $\alpha$  with the letter  $\diamond$  almost everywhere.

An  $\alpha$ -automaton is a finite automaton with a limit transition function which maps the set of states appearing cofinally often before a limit to the state at the limit (similar automata have been studied by Büchi, Choueka, Wojciechowski).

A word is accepted or rejected according to the state at time  $\alpha$ .

## $\alpha$ -automatic structures

### Definition

A structure is (finite word)  $\alpha$ -automatic if it is (isomorphic to) a structure whose domain consist of finite  $\alpha$ -words and the domain and relations are recognized by  $\alpha$ -automata.

#### Properties:

The  $\exists^{\infty}$ -theory of any  $\alpha$ -automatic structure is decidable and every  $\omega^{\gamma}$ -automatic presentation restricted to  $\omega^{\omega}$  represents an elementary substructure.

The class of  $\alpha$ -automatic structures is closed under finite products.

Every  $(\alpha \cdot n)$ -automatic structure is  $\alpha$ -automatic.

# $\alpha$ -automatic ordinals

#### Example

Let  $(n_0, ..., n_k) <^* (m_0, ..., m_l)$  if

• k = l and  $n_i < m_i$  for  $i \le n$  least with  $n_i \ne m_i$ , or • k < l.

This is a wellorder on  $\omega^{<\omega}$  of order type  $\omega^{\omega}$ . Let us represent  $(n_0, ..., n_k)$  by the (finite)  $\omega^2$ -word  $0^{n_0} 1 \diamond^{\omega} 0^{n_1} 1 \diamond^{\omega} ... 0^{n_k} 1 \diamond^{\omega^2}$ .

Hence  $\omega^{\omega}$  is  $\omega^2$ -automatic. Similarly  $\omega^{\beta}$  is  $\alpha$ -automatic, where  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta$ .

## Automatic product

Suppose C, D are sets of ordinals. Let tp(C) denote the order type of C. Let tp(C, D) denote the isomorphism type of  $(C \cup D, C, D, <)$ .

### Definition

 $\alpha *_{aut} \beta$  is the supremum of the ordinals  $\gamma$  such that there is  $(C_{\delta} : \delta < \epsilon)$  with  $\gamma = \bigcup_{\delta < \epsilon} C_{\delta}$  and

- $\forall \delta < \epsilon \ tp(C_{\delta}) \leq \alpha$ ,
- there are only finitely many  $tp(C_{\delta}, C_{\eta})$  for  $\delta, \eta < \epsilon$ , and
- let for  $\mu < \alpha$   $Tr_{\mu} = \{C_{\delta}(\mu) : \delta < \epsilon\}$  (the trace of  $\mu$ ). Then  $\forall \mu < \alpha \ tp(Tr_{\mu}) \leq \beta$ .

# Commutative product

### Definition (Hessenberg)

Suppose  $\alpha = \sum_{i < m} \omega^{\alpha_i}$  and  $\beta = \sum_{j < n} \omega^{\beta_j}$  are in Cantor normal form. The commutative sum  $\alpha \oplus \beta$  is the sum of all  $\omega^{\alpha_i}$  and  $\omega^{\beta_j}$  arranged in decreasing order. The commutative product  $\alpha \otimes \beta$  is the sum of all  $\omega^{\alpha_i \oplus \beta_j}$  arranged in decreasing order.

Commutative sum and product are strictly monotone in both coordinates.

## Automatic product

#### Lemma

 $\alpha *_{aut} \beta = \alpha \otimes \beta$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$ .

Proof sketch:

Suppose  $\alpha = \omega^{\omega^{\alpha'}}$  and  $\beta = \omega^{\omega^{\beta'}}$ .

Case  $\alpha \otimes \beta = \alpha \cdot \beta$ : Let  $C_{\gamma}$  be sets of ordinals of order type  $\alpha$  with

• sup 
$$C_{\gamma} < \min C_{\delta}$$
 for all  $\gamma < \delta < \beta$ .

Case  $\alpha \otimes \beta = \beta \cdot \alpha$ : Same with

•  $C_{\gamma}(\mu) < C_{\delta}(\nu)$  for all  $\mu < \nu < \alpha$  and  $\gamma, \delta < \beta$  and

•  $C_{\gamma}(\mu) < C_{\delta}(\mu)$  for all  $\mu < \alpha$  and  $\gamma < \delta < \beta$ .

## Automatic product

Suppose  $(C_{\delta} : \delta < \epsilon)$  as in definition of  $\alpha *_{aut} \beta$  and  $\alpha = \omega^{\bar{\alpha}}$  and  $\beta = \omega^{\bar{\beta}}$ .

Assume  $tp(C_{\gamma}) = tp(C_{\delta})$  for all  $\gamma < \delta < \epsilon$  and

• sup 
$$C_{\gamma} = \sup C_{\delta}$$
 (case 1) or

• sup 
$$C_{\gamma} < \min C_{\delta}$$
 (case 2) for all  $\gamma < \delta < \epsilon$ ,

otherwise split.

Case 1: Every proper initial segment is bounded by  $\alpha' *_{aut} \beta = \alpha' \otimes \beta < \alpha \otimes \beta$  for some  $\alpha' < \alpha = tp(C_{\gamma})$ .

Case 2: ... bounded by  $\alpha *_{aut} \beta' = \alpha \otimes \beta'$  for some  $\beta' < \beta$ .  $\Box$ 

# $\alpha$ -automatic ordinals

#### Proposition

Suppose  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta = \omega^{\gamma}$ . Then  $\omega^{\beta \cdot \omega}$  is the supremum of the  $\alpha$ -automatic ordinals.

#### Proof sketch:

Suppose there is an  $\alpha$ -automatic structure of order type at least  $\omega^{\beta \cdot \omega}$ . Let  $u \downarrow$  denote the set of predecessors of u. We pick an element  $u_n$  with  $tp(u_n \downarrow) = \omega^{\beta \cdot n}$  for each  $n \ge 1$ . Write

$$u_n \downarrow = X_{u_n} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{|v|=|u_n|} Y_v^{u_n}$$

where  $X_{u_n} = \{x : |x| < |u_n| \& x < u_n\}$  and  $Y_v^u = \{vw : vw < u\}$ .

## $\alpha$ -automatic ordinals

$$X_{u_n} = \{ x : |x| < |u_n| \& x < u_n \}$$
  
$$Y_v^u = \{ vw : vw < u \}$$

Then  $u_n \downarrow -X_{u_n}$  is an automatic product of the sets  $Y_v^{u_n}$ .

- $tp(X_{u_n}) < \omega^{\beta}$  since  $X_{u_n}$  is  $|u_n|$ -automatic and  $|u_n| < \alpha$
- $tp(Tr_{\delta}) < \omega^{\beta}$  since  $Tr_{\delta}$  is  $\alpha'$ -automatic for some  $\alpha' < \alpha$ .

Hence there are words  $v_n$  with  $tp(Y_{v_n}^{u_n}) = \omega^{\beta \cdot n}$  for each  $n \ge 1$ . But the number of types is bounded by the number of states. Contradiction.  $\Box$ 

## $\alpha$ -automatic linear orders

### Definition

A linear order C is an automatic product of linear orders A and B if there are sequences  $(C_{\gamma} : \gamma < \epsilon)$  of subsets of C and  $(f_{\gamma} : \alpha \rightarrow C_{\gamma} : \gamma < \epsilon)$  of onto functions with

- $C = \bigcup_{\gamma < \epsilon} C_{\gamma}$
- $C_{\gamma} \hookrightarrow A$  for all  $\gamma < \epsilon$
- for each n, there are only finitely many  $tp(f_{\gamma_0}, ..., f_{\gamma_n})$  for  $\gamma_i < \epsilon$ .
- let for  $\mu < \alpha \ g_{\mu}(\gamma) = f_{\gamma}(\mu)$ . Then  $\forall \mu < \alpha \ ran(g_{\mu}) \hookrightarrow B$ .

# $\alpha$ -automatic linear orders

### Definition

Suppose  $(C, \leq)$  is a linear order. Let  $rk(\leq) = 0$  if the domain is finite. Let  $rk(\leq) \leq \alpha$  if it is a finite sum of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -sums of linear orders of rank  $< \alpha$ .

A linear order *L* is *scattered* if  $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \leftrightarrow L$ . Every scattered linear order has a rank.

# $\alpha$ -automatic linear orders

#### Lemma

Suppose a scattered linear order C is an automatic product of A and B. Then  $rk(C) \leq rk(A) \oplus rk(B)$ .

#### Proposition

Suppose  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \beta = \omega^{\gamma}$ . Then  $\beta \cdot \omega$  is the supremum of ranks of  $\alpha$ -automatic linear orders.

# Questions

- What is the supremum of the heights of  $\alpha\text{-}\mathsf{automatic}$  wellfounded partial orders?
- Can every countable infinite word  $\alpha$ -automatic structure be represented by a finite word  $\alpha$ -automatic structure?
- Is the random graph  $\alpha$ -automatic?
- Is the isomorphism problem for automatic linear orders decidable?